Case 1:04-cv-12685-RBC	Document 1	Filed 12/22/2004	Page 1 of 7
	TATES DISTR	v 10	RECEIPT #_ LOCAR GLOV  AMOUNT \$
GUSTAVO LEAL GUERRA,	)		DATE 12-22-04
Plaintiff,	) ) )		
V.	) )	Civ. A. No	
CLEANCO MAINTENANCE CORF J.L.D. CLEANING SERVICES, INC ROBERT LEONE, and DEBORAH . HOLT	. )	JURY TRIAL	DEMANDED
Defendants.	) <b>^</b>	1268	5 PBS

### COMPLAINT

MAGISTHATE JUDGE Callings

- 1. Plaintiff Gustavo Leal Guerra ("Guerra") brings this action to recover unpaid wages for work he performed for Defendants, Cleanco Maintenance Corp., J.L.D. Cleaning Services, Inc., Robert Leone, and Deborah J. Holt (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants"). During the time period discussed herein, Guerra regularly worked over forty hours per week and did not receive overtime compensation. In some cases, Guerra worked as many as 80 hours in a week and did not receive any overtime compensation.
- 2. Defendants' failure to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff was the result of a deliberate scheme to engage in illegal conduct. Defendants operated as a single or joint employer of Guerrra under the wage and hour laws of both the Fair Labor Standards Act and Massachusetts, but issued multiple paychecks to Guerra each check for 40 work hours per week or less. By refusing to issue a single paycheck for all hours worked in a workweek, Defendants sought to conceal their

unlawful conduct of refusing to pay time and one half Guerra's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty in a workweek.

- 3. Defendants' scheme not only created a mechanism to avoid compliance with the overtime pay requirements of state and federal law. The scheme also allowed Defendants to conceal a significant portion of their workforce from the union which represents Defendants' employees. This practice, known as "double-breasting", was in direct violation of the collective bargaining agreement reached with the union. The collective bargaining agreement was negotiated and agreed to by a large group of employers acting in concert, including Defendants. Each of those employers provide similar janitorial and maintenance services to businesses operating throughout Massachusetts.
- 4. Defendants' violations of the state and federal wage and hour laws were intentional, and part of a widespread pattern and practice engaged in by Defendants affecting numerous other employees.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined at trial, as well as other relief, for the conduct complained of herein.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Count I pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 29 U.S.C. §\$207 and 216(b).
- 7. Defendants' have their principal place of business in this district, and are therefore subject to personal jurisdiction here.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), because the Defendants reside in this district and are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

9. This Court has jurisdiction over Counts II and III of this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

#### **Parties**

- 10. Plaintiff Guerra is a resident of East Boston, Massachusetts. Guerra worked for the Defendants between approximately June of 2003 and September of 2004 ("Relevant Time Period").
- 11. Defendant Cleanco Maintenance Corp. ("Cleanco") is a corporation organized under the laws of Massachusetts with its principal place of business located in Watertown, Massachusetts.
- 12. Defendant J.L.D. Cleaning Services, Inc.("JLD") is a corporation organized under the laws of Massachusetts with its principal place of business located in Ashland, Massachusetts.
- 13. Defendant Robert Leone ("Leone") is the President, Treasurer, and Secretary of Cleanco Maintenance Corp. and resides in Ashland, Massachusetts.
- 14. Defendant Deborah J. Holt ("Holt") is the President, Treasurer and Secretary of J.L.D. Cleaning Services, Inc. and resides in Watertown, Massachusetts.
- 15. Defendants, acting under the name of Defendant Cleanco Maintenance Corp., contracted with numerous businesses in Massachusetts to provide janitorial and maintenance services for buildings housing a variety of businesses engaged in interstate commerce.
- 16. Defendants regularly transacted business in this district during the Relevant Time Period.
- During the Relevant Time Period, Defendants acted as a joint or single employer of Plaintiff and were an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and administrative regulations interpreting the FLSA. Similarly, Defendants were an

employer subject to the Massachusetts wage and hour laws and administrative regulations interpreting those laws, specifically including the laws referenced in Counts II and III of this Complaint.

18. Accordingly, Defendants were required to compensate Plaintiff in accordance with the requirements imposed on employers by those laws.

#### Factual Allegations

- 19. Plaintiff Guerra performed janitorial services for the Defendants.
- 20. Plaintiff filled out only one job application in order to perform janitorial services for the Defendants during the Relevant Time Period.
- 21. Plaintiff wore a Cleanco uniform when performing those janitorial services during the Relevant Time Period.
- 22. At all times during the Relevant Time Period, Guerra reported directly or indirectly to Defendant Leone.
- 23. Plaintiff received paychecks issued by JLD and Holt at the same time and at the same location as he received paychecks from Cleanco.
- 24. During much of the Relevant Time Period, Plaintiff performed janitorial services at the Saint Peter School ("Saint Peter") in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Although Saint Peter contracted with Cleanco and Leone for the provision of janitorial services, many of Plaintiff's paychecks were issued by Defendant JLD and Holt during this time period.
  - 25. Plaintiff was not a salaried employee.
- 26. Plaintiff regularly did not receive time and one half his regular rate of pay when he worked more than forty hours in a workweek.

- 27. Plaintiff was never paid all wages due to him within seven days of the termination of the pay period during which those wages were earned.
- 28. To this day, Plaintiff has not been paid all wages he is owed for hours worked during the Relevant Time Period.
- 29. Plaintiff has not received any wages for hours worked in the final weeks of his employment.
- 30. In addition, on several days during the Relevant Time Period, Plaintiff was not paid any wages for the time spent traveling to and from locations where the janitorial services were performed after reporting for work at a central location, or returning to that central location, as required by Defendants.

#### Count I - Fair Labor Standards Act Claim

- 31. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations.
- 32. Defendants violated 29 U.S.C. § 207(a) by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at time and one half his regularly hourly rate for hours worked in excess of forty hours during a workweek.
- 33. The Defendants' violations of 29 U.S.C. §207(a) were repeated, willful, and intentional.
  - 34. Plaintiff has been damaged by said violations of 29 U.S.C. §207(a).
- 35. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §207(a) and §216(b), Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the full amount of his unpaid overtime compensation, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, plus the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff in bringing this action.

#### Count II - Massachusetts Overtime Law Claim

- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations.
- 37. Defendants violated M.G.L. c. 151, §§ 1A and 1B by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at time and one half his regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty during any workweek.
  - 38. Plaintiff has been damaged by said violations of M.G.L. c. 151, §§ 1A and 1B.
- 39. The Defendants' violations of M.G.L. c. 151, §§151 1A and 1B were repeated, willful, and intentional.
- 40. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151, §§151 1A and 1B, Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for treble damages, plus costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

#### Count III

- 41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the above allegations.
- 42. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 149, §150, the Attorney General of Massachusetts has assented in writing to the commencement of this Count by letter dated December 10, 2004.
- 43. The Defendants violated M.G.L. c. 149, §148 by failing to pay Plaintiff all wages earned within seven days of the termination of the pay period in which those wages were earned.
- 44. The Defendants' violations of M.G.L. c. 149, §148 were repeated, willful, and intentional.
  - 45. Plaintiff has been damaged by said violations of M.G.L. c. 149, §148.
- 46. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 149, §150 and §148, Defendants are liable for treble damages plus costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

Filed 12/22/2004

#### Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- Determine the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' violations of the A. FLSA, and award those damages against the Defendants in favor of Plaintiff, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages;
- Determine the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' violations of В. M.G.L. c. 151 §§1A and 1B, and award those damages against the Defendants in favor of Plaintiff, including treble damages for uncompensated overtime and prejudgment interest;
- Determine the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the Defendants' violations of C. M.G.L. c. 149, §148, and award those damages against the Defendants in favor of Plaintiff, including treble damages and prejudgment interest;
- Award Plaintiff costs and disbursements of this suit, including, without limitation, D. reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and
- Any other or further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. E. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL ON ALL CLAIMS SO TRIABLE.

By his Attorneys,

Todd S. Heyman (BBO # 643804)

Lara Sutherlin (BBO # 651267)

SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

617-439-3939

\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_

## **JIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use

of the Clerk of Court for the		civil docket sheet		STRUCTIONS ON THE RE	VERSE OF THE FORM.)	
.(a) PLAINTIFFS			•	DEFENDANTS	•	
. , _	110				aintenance Co	W.
Gustavo	Leal Gue	r (a)				
				Robert le	oning Services	, -1(0)
		* 000 V		Oakarah	- 4.iL	». 1
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF SOLECTION			COUNTY OF RESIDENCE C	F FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	Middlesex	
(EXCEP	T IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	ES ONLY)
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDE TRACT OF LAND	EMNATION CASES, USE THE LO DINVOLVED.	CATION OF THE
		<del></del>				
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME.	ADDRESS. AND TELEPHONE N	UMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)		
Toda Heymi	Shap-10	Haber Flim	, LU	A 10	nook	
Lara S. the	on Shap to thin 53 State	te St		14 12	1685	
617-439-39	39 Bull 1	MA ORIO	9			
	3,0,1			TENCHID OF BRIA	IOIDAL DADTICO	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (PLACE AN 1)	(. IN ONE BOX ONLY)		Diversity Cases Only)	ICIPAL PARTIES	LACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
	1/			F	TF DEF	PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Governme	nt Not a Party)		itizen of This State		l or Principal Place
□ 2 U.S. Government	□ 4 Diversity		ے ا	itizen of Another State D		l and Principal Place □ 5 □ 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizen	ship of Parties		MIZON OF ANOTHOR GIGGE		s In Another State
	in Item III)		c	itizen or Subject of a D	э □з Foreign Natio	on 🗀 6 🗀 6
N/ N/TUDE OF OU	<del>-</del>		<u> </u>	Foreign Country		
	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONL					
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL II	M Diray	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES
3 110 insurance 3 120 Marine	📋 310 Airplane	362 Personal inj	jury	<ul> <li>☐ 610 Agriculture</li> <li>☐ 620 Other Food &amp; Drug</li> </ul>	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpi		☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Stander	Product Lia	bility	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
151 Medicare Act	□ 330 Federal Employers*	Injury Produ		☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	El 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	OPERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	(2) 830 Patent	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Frauce ☐ 371 Truth in Len		☐ <b>690</b> Other	[] 840 Trademark	Exchange  B75 Customer Chailenge
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Person Property Da	onal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410
■ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Da	amage	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Fersonal Injury	Product Lia		- Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE		☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting	□ <b>864</b> SSID Title XVI □ <b>865</b> RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Sentence		& Disclosure Act  740 Railway Labor Act		☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	HABEAS CORP  530 General	i		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	☐ 535 Death Pena ☐ 540 Mandamus		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	[] 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	State Statutes  ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions
290 All Other Real Property	1 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Cor		791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	
V. ORIGIN	<u> </u>			ONE BOX ONLY)	20 030 7009	A
		(1 EAGE )	314 X 114	· ·	red from	Appeal to District Judge from
		anded from   Illate Court	4 Reinsta Reoper			rict 🗀 ⁊ Magistrate
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			·	led (specify LING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEN	,	- Jauginent
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	DO NOT CITE JURISDIC	TIONAL STATUTES U	NLESS DIVER	(SITY.)		
29052	207 Fire L	1 . 61 . 0	i) /	1 Pi 1-Ce 2	into the some	- unpaid overtime
0((0)) 0,	31616	acpor o miraci	~ cK % /	JOIN THAM BALL	CE (1-)	winges,
	MOGI			DEMAND & D	C CHECK VEC	
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACT	TION	DEMAND \$ / kmuse		only if demanded in complaint:  AND XYES □ NO
COMPLAINT:	□ UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	<u>.                                    </u>		to he determ.	JURY DEM	AND: PYES INO
VIII.RELATED CASE( IF ANY	S) (See instructions):	JDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	<del></del>	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY C	F RECORD		
17/2-	104	~/ <u>~</u>	11	11/1		
しかし入る						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	401	7.73	/W ( 2	7 77		

\_\_ JUDGE \_\_

MAG. JUDGE

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Catego	ory in whic	ch the case belongs b	ased upon th	e numbered nature of	suit code list	ed on the civ	il cover shee	t. (See
local r	ule 40.1(a)	(1)).				,		
	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23	3, REGARDLE	SS OF NATURE OF S	UIT.			. • •
X	II.			0, 550, 555, 625, 710, 850, 890, 892-894, 8			plete AO 120 trademark o	or AO 121 or copyright o
**************************************	III.		), 345, 350, 35	0, 230, 240, 245, 290 5, 360, 362, 365, 370				
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430	), 460, 510, 53	80, 610, 620, 630, 640	. 650. 660.		- مدير	<b>Y</b>
		690, 810, 861-865				126	185	P
<u> </u>	V.	150, 152, 153.		U		A final S		
Does t		n between the same p			YES [	NO	X	(See
lf so, is	s the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	or employee c	of the U.S. a party?	YES	NO	X	
					YES	МО	***************************************	
ls this	case requi	ired to be heard and c	letermined by	a district court of thi	ree judges pui YES	suant to title	28 USC §228	4?
Do <u>all</u> ( Massa 40.1(d)	chusetts ('	ies in this action, exc "governmental agenc	:luding goveri :ies"), residin	nmental agencies of t ig in Massachusetts i	he united stat eside in the s	es and the Co ame division	ommonwealth ? - (See Loc	າ of al Rule
	Α.	If ves in which div	vision do all n	f the non-governmen	YES	NO NO		
		Eastern Division	X	Central Division			Division	-
			sion do the m	ajority of the plaintiff	s or the only p	parties, exclud	ding governn	nental
	В.	If no, in which divi- agencies, residing		usetts reside?				
	В.			usetts reside? Central Division		Western	Division	E CONTRACTO
	a Notice c	agencies, residing	g in Massachi	Central Division	ourt requiring			? (If
	a Notice c	agencies, residing Eastern Division of Removal - are there	g in Massachi	Central Division	ourt requiring			
yes, su	a Notice c	agencies, residing Eastern Division of Removal - are there parate sheet identifyin	g in Massachi	Central Division	ourt requiring	the attention		:? (If